Peanut allergy among Mexican adults with allergic respiratory diseases: prevalence and clinical manifestations

Alergia al maní en adultos mexicanos con enfermedades respiratorias alérgicas: prevalencia y manifestaciones clínicas
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Abstract

Background: Peanut allergy among adults with respiratory diseases has seldom been studied within Mexico.

Objective: To establish the prevalence of peanut allergy among adults that have been diagnosed with either asthma or allergic rhinitis; we will also be describing the symptoms that are associated with peanut allergy.

Methods: We carried out a cross-sectional study through which we analyzed the corresponding data of 257 patients with allergic respiratory diseases, asthma or allergic rhinitis, all participants were 16 years of age or older, and were recruited in a consecutive manner. Peanut allergy was established by testing positive to a peanut skin-prick test; we also conducted a standard interview with each patient.

Results: From our sample of 257 patients, 18 tested positive to peanut sensitization, (7.0%; 95% CI = 3.9% to 10.1%); among these 18 participants, 7 were considered to be allergic to peanuts (2.7%; 95% IC: 0.7% to 4.7%). Predominant symptoms were oral, primarily affecting the pharynx and the palate, followed by swelling of the lips. When it came to respiratory discomfort, sneezing and rhinorrhea stood out, and lastly there were cutaneous symptoms. We did not detect any systemic reactions to the peanut.

Conclusion: In our study, peanut allergy among adults with allergic respiratory diseases is not an uncommon occurrence.
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Background
Globally, the prevalence of allergies to foods such as the peanut has seen a substantial rise, to the point of becoming a public health issue.1 Peanut allergy is amongst the main causes of deaths associated with anaphylaxis induced by foods;2 however, there are countries where this does not appear to be a major problem.

Most patients with peanut allergy begin to manifest symptoms at an early age, and it is likely that these will persist throughout the individual’s lifetime.4

In Mexico, peanut sensitization appears to be less frequent than in the United States and Europe, where it represents about 80% of food allergies.3 In Latin America, a region with various emerging economies, foods such as peanuts constitute an alternative protein source, however, little is known about the peanut allergy frequency in the region.6 Moreover, the vast cultural diversity, food availability, tropical and subtropical climates, as well as peanut intake tendencies, all lead to differing dietary and food preparation habits that may not be found in developed economies, all of which cause variability among peanut allergy development.7,8

In Mexico, the issue regarding peanut allergy among adults has seldom been analyzed; there is a generally held notion that food allergy is more of a problem within the pediatric population. Given these circumstances, the objectives of our study were: Firstly, to determine the prevalence of peanut allergy among a sample group with allergic respiratory diseases, and secondly, to describe the symptoms that are most frequently triggered by peanut ingestion among our sample group of patients.

Methods
In this cross-sectional study, we included patients that reside within the metropolitan region of Guadalajara, Jalisco, México. Patients were recruited consecutively. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital General de Guadalajara. All patients gave written informed consent to participate in the study.

The study was performed in the Department of Allergy and Immunology of the Hospital General de Guadalajara. All patients were diagnosed with asthma or allergic rhinitis. Peanut allergy was defined as a positive skin prick test to peanut extract and a positive history of symptoms after eating peanut or peanut containing products. The sample size was calculated based on the proportion of peanut allergy in adults with respiratory diseases. A total of 257 patients were included in the study. The prevalence of peanut allergy was calculated by dividing the number of patients with peanut allergy by the total number of patients included in the study. 95% confidence intervals were calculated using the binomial distribution.

Results
The prevalence of peanut allergy in adults with respiratory diseases was 7.0% (95% CI: 4.4-10.9%), with a prevalence of 2.7% (95% CI: 1.2-5.6%) in patients with asthma or allergic rhinitis. The predominant symptoms were oral symptoms, such as pruritus in the pharynx and palate, followed by edema of the lips; respiratory symptoms, such as sneezing and rhinorrhea, and, finally, cutaneous symptoms. No systemic reactions to peanut were recorded.

Conclusion
In our study, peanut allergy among adults with respiratory diseases was not infrequent. The prevalence of peanut allergy was higher in adults with respiratory diseases than in the general population. The results of our study suggest that peanut allergy should be considered in adults with respiratory diseases, and that further studies are needed to determine the clinical significance of peanut allergy in adults.
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lajara, Mexico, that have allergic respiratory diseases, either allergic asthma or allergic rhinitis, aged 16 years or older. These patients received allergy-related medical attention for the first time in a teaching hospital. The subjects were recruited consecutively from April 2017 to April 2018. We did not include patients with dermographism, nor did we incorporate pregnant women, or those who were unable to suspend their antihistamine intake.

Conventionally, when a patient shows allergy sensitization to at least one of the tested aeroallergens, we proceed to apply food allergy skin-prick tests. In our study, if a peanut skin-prick test came up positive, we also interviewed the patient regarding the symptoms that he or she manifested after ingesting this particular food.

Peanut allergy was determined when there was a positive peanut allergen skin-prick test and the presence of symptoms within 2 hours of having ingested peanuts; these symptoms were identified through a standard interview conducted by two trained allergists. Skin-prick tests were carried out by using the puncturing technique with a non-standardized commercial peanut extract (1:20 p/v; Allergomex, Ciudad de Mexico, Mexico); we used glycerin and histamine as negative and positive controls, respectively. Usually, patients are instructed to avoid medication that can interfere with skin-prick test results for at least one week prior to the test, especially antihistamines. Along with a peanut allergen, we also tested for aeroallergens with pollen from weeds (careless weed, ragweed, mugwort, lambs' quarters, sunflower, castor bean, Russian thistle, dandelion), grasses (Bermuda grass, Johnson grass, ryegrass, timothy) and trees (sweet acacia, alders, casuarina, cypress, eucalyptus tree, ash, juniper, pine, poplar, mesquite, oak, American pepper), as well as indoor allergens (Dermatophagoides farinae, Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus, a mix of Blattella germanica and Periplaneta americana, cat and dog fur), lastly, a mix of feathers were also tested (Allergomex, Ciudad de Mexico, Mexico). A drop of every allergen was situated over each patient's anterior forearm, subsequently; we used a standard lancet for the puncturing (Hollister-Stier®). Every patient had to wait 15 minutes before the tests could be interpreted. Based on international guidelines, a test was considered positive when the size of the wheal was ≥ 3mm when compared to the negative control.9

The prevalence of peanut allergy was determined as the result of the division of the number of patients that manifested symptoms after ingesting peanuts by the entire study sample; moreover, 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for proportions were calculated to estimate population prevalence of peanut sensitization and peanut allergy. The processing and data analyses were done with IBM SPSS® Statistics 20 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The license was acquired by the institution that approved this study.

This investigation was approved by the Hospital’s Ethics Committee (Ethics Committee number 00166). Each patient signed a written consent form in order to be included in our study.

Results

We analyzed the data of 257 adults with allergic respiratory diseases; exclusively with allergic rhinitis were 158, asthma without allergic rhinitis were 28 and asthma with allergic rhinitis were 72; in which the frequency for peanut sensitization (n = 18) was 7.0% (95% CI = 3.9-10.1), the mean age for this group was 33.7 ± 14.2 years; there was a greater prevalence in women than in men with a 2:1 ratio (table 1). In the peanut sensitization group, a little over 60% of the patients have allergic rhinitis. In addition to peanut sensitization, almost 80% of the patients were also sensitized to indoor dust mites or one of the tree pollens.

The prevalence of individuals with peanut allergy (7/257) was 2.7% (95% CI = 0.7-4.7). In this case, the mean age was 27.4 ± 11.6 years; in total, there were six women and one man out of all the cases. Among these patients, gastro-intestinal symptoms and those around the oral area were the most predominant, such as: an itchy throat and palate, followed by swelling of the lips; among the respiratory symptoms, the most common were sneezing and rhinorrhea; cutaneous symptoms were the least prevalent (table 2).

In table 3 there is a detailed list of clinical characteristics for patients with peanut allergy. All seven patients had allergic rhinitis, one of which had asthma; all were sensitized to tree pollens. The median wheal size of peanut skin prick test was 4 mm.

Discussion

One of our most notable findings was a peanut allergy prevalence of 2.7% among adults with respiratory
diseases; additionally, itchiness of throat and palatine stood out, among digestive symptoms associated with this problem.

Although the growing peanut allergy prevalence is well documented, the cultural differences between developed and emerging countries might be factors that contribute to the variation of this specific allergy. Up until a few years ago in The United Kingdom, parents had been advised not to introduce peanuts into the diet of their children until they were 3 years of age, interestingly, the Jewish population did not apply this restriction, a circumstance which led to a reduced peanut allergy prevalence. Although the growing peanut allergy prevalence is well documented, the cultural differences between developed and emerging countries might be factors that contribute to the variation of this specific allergy. Up until a few years ago in The United Kingdom, parents had been advised not to introduce peanuts into the diet of their children until they were 3 years of age, interestingly, the Jewish population did not apply this restriction, a circumstance which led to a reduced peanut allergy prevalence.10,11 Studies in France regarding the growing prevalence of this sensitization have found that ingesting peanuts while pregnant, breastfeeding, and at the age during which a complimentary diet is introduced to nursing infants were the cause of increased peanut allergy prevalence.12

Within the Asian continent, peanut allergy prevalence is not very common, in Korea, Singapore, and the Philippines, its frequency ranges from 0.4 to 1.1% among children; conversely, in countries such as The United Kingdom, Canada, The United States of America, and Australia the prevalence is almost twice as high; in fact, western countries have doubled their peanut allergy prevalence in the last two decades.14

When trying to evaluate the possible causes that would lead to a difference in peanut allergy prevalence between Asian and Western countries, it has been suggested that early exposure to cooked or boiled peanut in baby food, may help develop a tolerance to it;15 furthermore, it has been noted that ingesting roasted peanuts increases allergenicity.15 Other aspects that play a role in this matter are genetic variability and microbial exposure in migrant populations, as these tend to modulate intestinal immunity and build a tolerance.16 Interestingly, in countries where the peanut allergy frequency is higher, mutations have been found in the gene that codes filaggrin, which causes a higher environmental exposure to the peanut protein as a result of the deficient cutaneous barrier, thus, it increases the likelihood of developing allergy to this food.17 On the other hand, in Singapore the study showed that regardless of an individual’s ethnic background, patients born in Asia were at a lower risk for developing a peanut allergy than those born in Western countries.17 The data from Asia and Africa have shown that peanut allergy is less prevalent in countries with emerging economies, in contrast to developed nations, where there is a higher frequency.18,19 These studies have also reported varying genetics within the population, concluding that peanut allergy may be linked to a microbial exposure and the environment.20,21

Previous studies in Mexico were carried out among children with allergic diseases, where it was observed that peanut allergy prevalence was at 3.3%. In our country, there are limited studies that have looked into the risk factors associated with peanut allergy; primarily, exposure and sensitization to pollens, oral allergy syndrome, job occupations, and contact with food proteins through direct skin contact, soaps or body lotions.22 In our analysis, sensitization to tree pollens, especially the oak, mesquite and alder pollens, was present in almost 80% of the patients. It seems that peanut allergy prevalence is likely triggered by a reactive pollen mixture, especially mixtures found in grasses.23,24 Recently, it

Table 1. Characteristics of the population with peanut sensitization (n = 18)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age in years (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>33.7 ± 14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>12 (66.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic rhinitis</td>
<td>11 (61.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma + allergic rhinitis</td>
<td>4 (22.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>3 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic sensitization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House dust mite</td>
<td>14 (77.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree pollens</td>
<td>14 (77.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed pollens</td>
<td>11 (61.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grass pollens</td>
<td>11 (61.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cockroach (mix)</td>
<td>10 (55.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td>7 (38.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat</td>
<td>6 (33.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>4 (22.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feathers (mix)</td>
<td>2 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD = standard deviation.
was noted that adolescents and adults with peanut allergy also had a 50% rate of birch sensitization.\textsuperscript{25} It would seem that the similarity found in the peanut proteins and the pollen allergens that come from the oak, mesquite and alder trees, which have caused sensitization in our patients, belong to the profilines family,\textsuperscript{24} and these are capable of triggering a cross-reactivity and cause peanut allergy.

Notably, we also found a predominance of oral symptoms in patients with asthma and allergic rhinitis; these are similar to the results in another Mexican investigation, where the most frequent allergic disease related to oral allergy syndrome was allergic rhinitis. However, in said study, the foods with the greatest relationship to oral allergy syndrome were fruits.\textsuperscript{26} In our study, we found no predominance for systemic peanut allergy symptoms; in fact, in North America the peanut is the food that causes the greatest number of anaphylactic fatalities, both in adolescents and in young adults.\textsuperscript{27} Other studies focused on the clinical evolution and the severity of peanut allergy have shown that those proteins found in peanuts, that have a greater thermal stability and greater resistance to the gastric proteolytic enzymes, also have a greater allergenic capacity, such is the case for Ara h 2 and Ara h 9.\textsuperscript{28} When we compare studies regarding clinical variability of peanut allergy and its association with different sensitizations to food proteins, it has been proven that North American patients with peanut allergy primarily have an Ara h 2 sensitization. The opposite, that is, a Ara h 5 and Ara h 8 sensitization occurs in Northern Europe (Sweden, Denmark, Germany). In contrast, Mediterranean patients in countries such as Spain and India have peanut allergy with Ara h 9 sensitization. It has been noted that patients with peanut allergy that also have oral allergy syndrome, are sensitized to Ara h 5 and Ara h 8.\textsuperscript{29} Given that in our sample of patients with peanut allergy tended to manifest oral symptoms, we can infer that these were Ara h 5 or Ara h 8 sensitizations. We can also discard that their allergic problem began throughout the later stages of life because there were no documented systemic reactions.

It is known that only 20% of peanut allergy cases will be resolved at school age, especially those that show mild to moderate reactions and do not manifest other allergic reactions.\textsuperscript{28} The primary treatment for patients with peanut allergy is to avoid exposure to it, although we know that it can be difficult since the peanut can be hidden in many food products, thus, there is a high risk for accidental exposure to those that suffer from the allergy.\textsuperscript{29} Therefore, those patients with persistent oral symptoms that are constantly exposed might develop systemic symptoms in the future.\textsuperscript{30-32}

Interventions with impact on public health to prevent peanut allergy, such as early diagnosis, could reduce direct costs for medical attention and indirect costs for improving the quality of life.\textsuperscript{33,34}

Due to the design of our study all variables were measured simultaneously; as a result, we were unable to effectively determine which patients developed anaphylactic reactions; although based on our interviews, none of them did. An additional limitation to our results was that we could not do oral food challenge tests, in order to confirm the allergic diagnosis. Furthermore, we did not estimate the average peanut consumption intake prior to the onset of symptoms associated with peanut ingestion, as these patients did not have medical records at our hospital.

Selection bias should always be considered when using a diagnostic test. For example, if we had used a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>85.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itchy throat</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>72.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itchy palate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>42.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swollen lips</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itchy lips</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itchy tongue</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sialorrhea</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Intestinal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal distention</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sneezing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinorrhea</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutaneous</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itchy skin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hives</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swollen body parts</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
questionnaire about allergy symptoms after ingestion of peanuts, it is possible that patients could overestimate or underestimate their symptoms, so the prevalence would be erroneously calculated. In contrast, the skin prick test used in this study has been shown to be a test with high discrimination capacity, with an area under the curve of over 90% according to the receiver operating curves. In clinical practice this test has proved very useful since a size of the wheal greater than 1 mm has a sensitivity of 100%, but a size of the wheal greater than 5 mm has a specificity of 100%.

In closing, there are a considerable number of patients with allergic asthma or rhinitis that are also sensitized to the peanut; among them, almost 40% manifested symptoms, primarily oral symptoms that were linked to peanut ingestion.
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